CLCS Review Item Disposition

1. Initiator	LAST NAME FIRST	2. Type of Review 3. RID Number
Name	Lunceford, James M.	General Document Review 00200-206
Organization	DE-CLC	
		PDR, CDR, ABR , PPR (circle)
Phone	861-2294	Other
Fax	861-7470	
5a. Doc. Number	84K00200 6. Doc. Name Sy	stem Level Specification (SLS)
5a. Doc. Revision	Pre-Release 1	
6. Name of RID Team SLS RID Review Team		
4 D 31		
7. Problem		
2.1.1.1.2 lists orbiter hardware that the LDB gateway should be capable of accessing while in GSE mode. Several items have been left out (DEUs, command decoder MDM's).		
8. Recommendation	n	
o. Accommendation		
All of the requirements specified in sections 2.1.1.1.2 through 2.1.1.1.5 are contained in the SS-P-0002-150 document		
specified in 2.1.1.1.1. Recommend deleting sections 2.1.1.1.2 through 2.1.1.1.5		
		Hardcopy of Redlines/Comments Attached
9. Impact if recommendation not implemented		
Duplication of data may require that this document be changed when the SS P 0002 150 changes		
Duplication of data may require that this document be changed when the SS-P-0002-150 changes.		
		Initiator - Signature Submission Date
10. Team Recomm	endation	11. Action Required
☐ Acce	epted	☐ Update Document
	jected	
	-	
☐ Study		Other (specify)
☐ With	drawn	
☐ Defe	rred to CLCS CCB Screening Panel	
Comr	nents	Comments
See attachme	ent.	
RID Team Manager	- Signature	_
12. Final RID Clo		13. Additional Comments/Notes
□ RII	D to be incorporated in next revision	
☐ RII	D to be incorporated in other (specify)	
_	1 7/	
RID Team Manager	- Signature	

Response Attachment 200-206

The problem statement in this RID requests a change that is believed by the CLCS design team to be invalid for the following reasons:

- 1. When the LDB Gateway is in GPC mode (as defined in SS-P-0002-150) it does not have access to the command decoder MDMs. These MDMs are only directly accessible in DIO mode.
- 2. The GPC Gateway can not issue commands to the DEU. It may only communicate with the DEU through the DEU Equivalent TCS-1 command.

The RID Management Team agrees with this assessment. The RID is therefore rejected. Thank you for reviewing the SLS and submitting your RID. Even though we rejected this RID, your input is valuable and we appreciate it.